## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

UNITED STA	TES OF AMERICA	§ s	
VS.			NO. 3:06-CR-0069-D(01)
JERRY EDWARD HOLBROOK, Movant.		§ § §	NO. 3:08-CV-1161-D
<u> </u>	ORDER OF THE COURT ON	<u> THE FOREGOI</u>	NG RECOMMENDATION
	lering the record in this case and edure 22(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 2253		mendation, and pursuant to Federal Rule of reby finds and orders:
IFP ST	ΓATUS:		
<ul> <li>(X) the party appealing is GRANTED in forma pauperis states</li> <li>( ) the party appealing is DENIED in forma pauperis status for the following reasons:</li> </ul>			* *
	the appeal is not taken i incorporates by reference in this case on	n good faith. In see the Magistrate Jugger Based up led on le merit and is the Miss. 1996) (citin	pp. P. 24(a) and 28 U.S.C. § 1915 (a)(3), that support of this finding, the Court adopts and adge's Findings and Recommendation entered on the Magistrate Judge's findings and the, this Court finds that the appeal presents erefore frivolous. <i>See Harkins v. Roberts</i> , 935 ag <i>Howard v. King</i> , 707 F. 2d 215, 219-20 (5 <sup>th</sup>
	Rules of Appellate Proce	s not complied wi edure and /or 28	th the requirements of Rule 24 of the Federal U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1) as ordered by the Court. ered on).
<u>COA</u> :			
( )	a Certificate of Appealability is GRANTED on the following issues:		
(X)	a Certificate of Appealability is DENIED. The Court hereby adopts and incorporates by reference the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation entered in this case or September 17, 2008, in support of its finding that Movant has failed to make a substantial showing of the denial of a federal constitutional right. <i>United States v. Garza</i> , 165 F.3d 312, 314 (5th Cir.), <i>cert. denied</i> , 120 S.Ct. 502 (1999).		

## SIGNED October 31, 2008.

SIDNEY A. FITZWATER

**CHIEF JUDGE**